arXiv:1301.0761v1 [math.RA] 4 Jan 2013

PSEUDO-MULTIPLICATIONS AND THEIR PROPERTIES

PAUL PONCET

ABSTRACT. We examine some properties of pseudo-multiplications, which are a special kind of associative binary relations defined on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATIONS

In [4, Chapter I], we considered the idempotent Radon–Nikodym theorem due to Sugeno and Murofushi [7], and we proved a converse statement in the special case of the Shilkret integral [5, 3]. We would like to generalize this converse statement to the *idempotent* $\odot$*-integral*

$$\int_B^\infty f \odot d\nu,$$

where $\odot$ is a *pseudo-multiplication* (see e.g. Sugeno and Murofushi [7], Benvenuti and Mesiar [1]), i.e. a binary relation satisfying a series of natural properties. In this note we examine the properties of pseudo-multiplications we shall need to reach this goal.

2. PSEUDO-MULTIPLICATIONS

We consider a binary relation $\odot$ defined on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ with the following properties:

- associativity;
- continuity on $(0, \infty) \times [0, \infty]$;
- continuity of the map $s \mapsto s \odot t$ on $(0, \infty]$, for all t;
- monotonicity in both components;
- existence of a left identity element $1_\odot$, i.e. $1_\odot \odot t = t$ for all t;
- absence of zero divisors, i.e. $s \odot t = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \in \{s, t\}$, for all s, t;
- 0 is an annihilator, i.e. $0 \odot t = t \odot 0 = 0$, for all t.

We call such a $\odot$ a *pseudo-multiplication*. Note that the axioms above are stronger than in [7], where associativity is not assumed.

The map $O : \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ defined by $O(t) = \inf_{s>0} s \odot t$ is a *kernel* in the sense that, for all s, t:

Date: December 11, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E72; Secondary 49J52.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-arithmetical operations; pseudo-multiplications.

- $O(s) \leqslant O(t)$ whenever $s \leqslant t$;
- $O(t) \leqslant t$;
- $O(O(t)) = O(t)$.

An element t of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ is $\odot$-*finite* if $O(t) = 0$ (and t is $\odot$-*infinite* otherwise). We conventionally write $t \ll_\odot \infty$ for a $\odot$-finite element t.

Example 2.1. The usual multiplication $\times$ is a pseudo-multiplication with $1_\times = 1$ and every element but ∞ is $\times$-finite; in this case, the idempotent $\odot$-integral specializes to the Shilkret integral [5]. The infimum $\wedge$ is a pseudo-multiplication with $1_\wedge = \infty$ and every element is $\wedge$-finite; in this case, the idempotent $\odot$-integral specializes to the Sugeno integral [6].

Lemma 2.2. *Given a pseudo-multiplication $\odot$, there exists some positive $\odot$-finite element if and only if $1_\odot$ is $\odot$-finite.*

Proof. Assume that $O(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$. Then $O(1_\odot) \odot t \leqslant s \odot 1_\odot \odot t = s \odot t$ for all $s > 0$, so that $O(1_\odot) \odot t \leqslant O(t) = 0$. Since $\odot$ has no zero divisors, this implies that $O(1_\odot) = 0$, i.e. $1_\odot$ is $\odot$-finite. ☐

If $O(1_\odot) = 0$, we say that the pseudo-multiplication $\odot$ is *non-degenerate*. This amounts to say that the set of $\odot$-finite elements differs from $\{0\}$.

Example 2.3. The multiplication $\times$ and the infimum $\wedge$ are non-degenerate pseudo-multiplications. The binary relation $\otimes$ defined by $s \otimes t = t$ if $s > 0$ and $0 \otimes t = 0$ is an example of degenerate pseudo-multiplication.

Proposition 2.4. *Given a non-degenerate pseudo-multiplication $\odot$, the following conditions are equivalent for an element $t \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$:*

- *t is $\odot$-finite;*
- *$s \odot t \ll_\odot \infty$ for some $s > 0$;*
- *$s \odot t \leqslant 1_\odot$ for some $s > 0$;*
- *$t \odot s' \leqslant 1_\odot$ for some $s' > 0$;*
- *$t \odot s' \ll_\odot \infty$ for some $s' > 0$.*

Proof. Assume that t is $\odot$-finite. Then $s \odot t \ll_\odot \infty$ with $s = 1_\odot$.

Assume that $s \odot t \ll_\odot \infty$ for some $s > 0$. Then $\lim_{s' \to 0^+} s' \odot s \odot t = 0$, so there exists $s' > 0$ such that $(s' \odot s) \odot t \leqslant 1_\odot$.

Assume that $s \odot t \leqslant 1_\odot$ for some $s > 0$. Then $s \odot t \odot s' \leqslant 1_\odot \odot s' = s'$ for all $s' > 0$, so that $\lim_{s' \to 0^+} s \odot t \odot s' = 0$. By continuity and the fact that $\odot$ has no zero divisors we get $\lim_{s' \to 0^+} t \odot s' = 0$. This implies that $t \odot s' \leqslant 1_\odot$ for some $s' > 0$.

Assume that $t \odot s' \ll_\odot \infty$ for some $s' > 0$. Then $\lim_{s \to 0^+} s \odot t \odot s' = 0$, so that $O(t) \odot s' = 0$. This shows that $O(t) = 0$, i.e. t is $\odot$-finite. ☐

Lemma 2.5. *Given a pseudo-multiplication $\odot$, the set of $\odot$-finite elements is either $\{0\}$, or $[0, \infty]$, or of the form $[0, \phi)$ for some $\phi \in (1_\odot, \infty]$ such that $O(\phi) = \phi$.*

Proof. Denote by $F_{\odot}$ the set of $\odot$-finite elements, and assume that $F_{\odot} \neq \{0\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, $F_{\odot}$ is an interval containing 0 and $1_{\odot}$, so it satisfies $[0, \phi) \subset F_{\odot} \subset [0, \phi]$ for some $\phi \geqslant 1_{\odot}$. If $\phi = \infty$ we have $F_{\odot} = [0, \infty)$ or $F_{\odot} = [0, \infty]$. In the case where $F_{\odot} = [0, \infty)$ the lemma asserts also that $O(\phi) = \phi$. We have $O(\phi) \leqslant \infty = \phi$. Suppose that $O(\phi) < \phi$. Then $O(\phi) \in [0, \phi) \subset F_{\odot}$, so $O(\phi)$ is $\odot$-finite. This means that $O(O(\phi)) = 0$, hence $O(\phi) = 0$. So ϕ is $\odot$-finite, a contradiction.

Now suppose that $\phi < \infty$. Since ϕ is the supremum of $F_{\odot}$, there exists a decreasing sequence (t_n) of $\odot$-infinite elements that tends to ϕ. Let $t'_n = O(t_n)$. Since $O(t'_n) = O(O(t_n)) = O(t_n) = t'_n > 0$, t'_n is $\odot$-infinite, hence $\phi \leqslant t'_n \leqslant t_n$ for all n. This implies that $t'_n \to \phi$ when $n \to \infty$. Again, $O(t'_n) = t'_n$, so that $s \odot t'_n = t'_n$ for all $0 < s < 1_{\odot}$ and all n. With $n \to \infty$, we obtain $s \odot \phi = \phi$ for all $0 < s < 1_{\odot}$. This shows that $O(\phi) = \phi > 0$, so ϕ is $\odot$-infinite, and $F_{\odot} = [0, \phi)$. ☐

Example 2.6. We have $F_{\times} = [0, \infty)$, $F_{\wedge} = [0, \infty]$, and $F_{\otimes} = \{0\}$ (see the definition of $\otimes$ in Example 2.3). Given $0 < \phi < \infty$ we can also build an example of pseudo-multiplication $\odot_{\phi}$ with $F_{\odot_{\phi}} = [0, \phi)$ as follows. If $\tanh$ denotes the hyperbolic tangent, we define $s \odot_{\phi} t = \max(s, t)$ if $s \geqslant \phi$ or $t \geqslant \phi$, and $s \odot_{\phi} t = \phi \tanh(\tanh^{-1}(s/\phi) \tanh^{-1}(t/\phi))$ otherwise.

Lemma 2.7. *A pseudo-multiplication* $\odot$ *is non-degenerate if and only if the monoid* $([0, 1_{\odot}], \odot)$ *is commutative.*

Proof. Assume that $([0, 1_{\odot}], \odot)$ is commutative. Then

$$\begin{aligned} O(1_{\odot}) &= \inf_{s \in (0, 1_{\odot}]} s \odot 1_{\odot} = \inf_{s \in (0, 1_{\odot}]} 1_{\odot} \odot s \\ &= \inf_{s \in (0, 1_{\odot}]} s = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\odot$ is non-degenerate.

Conversely, assume that $\odot$ is non-degenerate. We show that, in $[0, 1_{\odot}]$, the binary relation $\odot$ is continuous; this will imply, by Faucett's theorem [2, Lemma 5], that $([0, 1_{\odot}], \odot)$ is commutative. So let $s_n \to s$ and $t_n \to t$ in $[0, 1_{\odot}]$. We prove that $s_n \odot t_n \to s \odot t$. If $s > 0$ this is a consequence of the properties of the pseudo-multiplication $\odot$, so suppose that $s = 0$, and let us show that $s_n \odot t_n \to 0$. For this purpose, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $s'_n = s_n \oplus \varepsilon$. Since s'_n tends to $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence $s'_n \odot t_n$ tends to $\varepsilon \odot t$. But $s'_n \odot t_n = (s_n \oplus \varepsilon) \odot t_n = (s_n \odot t_n) \oplus (\varepsilon \odot t_n)$, and taking the limit superior gives $\varepsilon \odot t = \ell \oplus (\varepsilon \odot t)$, where $\ell := \limsup(s_n \odot t_n)$. This shows that $\ell \leqslant \varepsilon \odot t$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. In other words, $\ell \leqslant O(t)$. Moreover, we are in the non-degenerate case, and $t \leqslant 1_{\odot}$, so that $O(t) = 0$. Hence, $\ell = 0$, so that $\lim(s_n \odot t_n) = 0$, which is the desired result. ☐

We summarize the previous lemmata in a single theorem:

Theorem 2.8. *Given a pseudo-multiplication* $\odot$*, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- $\odot$ *is non-degenerate, i.e.* $1_\odot$ *is* $\odot$*-finite;*
- *there exists some positive* $\odot$*-finite element;*
- *the monoid* $([0, 1_\odot], \odot)$ *is commutative;*
- *the set* $F_\odot$ *of* $\odot$*-finite elements is either* $[0, \infty]$ *or of the form* $[0, \phi)$ *for some* $\phi \in (1_\odot, \infty]$.

Moreover, in the case where $F_\odot = [0, \phi)$*, then* ϕ *satisfies* $O(\phi) = \phi$ *and* $t \odot \phi = \phi \odot t = \phi$*, for all* $0 < t \leqslant \phi$*. In particular,* ϕ *is idempotent.*

Proof. Let $0 < t < \phi$. Since t is $\odot$-finite, there is some $s' > 0$ such that $t \odot s' \leqslant 1_\odot$ by Proposition 2.4. Thus, $\phi = O(\phi) \leqslant t \odot \phi = t \odot O(\phi) \leqslant t \odot (s' \odot \phi) = (t \odot s') \odot \phi \leqslant 1_\odot \odot \phi = \phi$. We obtain that $t \odot \phi = \phi$, and when $t \to \phi$ we get $\phi \odot \phi = \phi$, i.e. ϕ is idempotent. Using again Proposition 2.4, the fact that ϕ be $\odot$-infinite implies $\phi \odot s \geqslant \phi$ for all $s > 0$. Hence, if $0 < t < \phi$, we get $\phi = \phi \odot \phi \geqslant \phi \odot t \geqslant \phi$, i.e. $\phi \odot t = \phi$. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *Given a pseudo-multiplication* $\odot$*, it is not possible to find* $t < \phi$ *and* $t' > \phi$ *such that* $t \odot t' = \phi$*, if* ϕ *denotes the supremum of the set of* $\odot$*-finite elements.*

Proof. Again, let $F_\odot$ be the set of $\odot$-finite elements. The result is clear if $F_\odot = \{0\}$ or $F_\odot = [0, \infty]$. Now if $F_\odot = [0, \phi)$ for some $\phi \in (1_\odot, \infty]$, we write $\phi = t \odot t'$ with $t < \phi$. Then $\phi = \phi \odot \phi = \phi \odot (t \odot t') = (\phi \odot t) \odot t' = \phi \odot t'$ by Theorem 2.8. Since $\phi > 1_\odot$, this implies $\phi \geqslant 1_\odot \odot t' = t'$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] Pietro Benvenuti and Radko Mesiar. Pseudo-arithmetical operations as a basis for the general measure and integration theory. *Inform. Sci.*, 160(1-4):1–11, 2004.

[2] W. M. Faucett. Compact semigroups irreducibly connected between two idempotents. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:741–747, 1955.

[3] Victor P. Maslov. *Méthodes opératorielles*. Éditions Mir, Moscow, 1987. Translated from the Russian by Djilali Embarek.

[4] Paul Poncet. *Infinite-dimensional idempotent analysis: the role of continuous posets*. PhD thesis, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France, 2011.

[5] Niel Shilkret. Maxitive measure and integration. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 74 = Indag. Math.*, 33:109–116, 1971.

[6] Michio Sugeno. *Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications*. PhD thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan, 1974.

[7] Michio Sugeno and Toshiaki Murofushi. Pseudo-additive measures and integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 122(1):197–222, 1987.

CMAP, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, ROUTE DE SACLAY, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE, AND INRIA, SACLAY–ÎLE-DE-FRANCE
E-mail address: `poncet@cmap.polytechnique.fr`